

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಆರ್. ರಂಗೇಗೌಡ.—ಈ 9 ಜನ ಸಿಲ್ಕಿಯರ್ ಇಂಡಸ್ಟ್ರಿಯರ್ ನೂಪರ್‌ವೈಸರ್‌ನ್ನು ರೆಗ್ಯುಲರೈಸ್ ಮಾಡುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಯತ್ನ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೀರಾ ?

†Sri M. RAJASEKHARA MURTHY.—According to the Government Order issued the regularisation should be done provided the initial appointment was in accordance with the rules of recruitment. They were appointed against promotional vacancies and so it cannot be regularised.

Mr. SPEAKER.—Question Hour is over. New Question No. 260, held over on 17-3-1970.

Tanks investigated in Chickamagalur District

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—You have supplied a big list which shows that 32 projects have been investigated. May I know out of these, how many are political projects, how many are contractors' projects and how many the Government intend to take up for execution ?

† Smt. BASAVARAJESWARI.—That information is not before me.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—You have given a big list of about 32 projects investigated in Chickamagalur. May I know how many are political projects, how many are contractors' projects and how many the government intend to take up ?

ಶ್ರೀಮತಿ ಬಸವರಾಜೇಶ್ವರಿ.—ಅವರು ಹಾಕಿರತಕ್ಕೆ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲಿ ಬಹುಶಃ ಇಷ್ಟೊಂದು ವಿವರಗಳು ಬೇಕಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲ. ಅದರೂ ಕೂಡ 1966 ರಿಂದ ಹಿಡಿದು 1969ರ ವರೆಗೂ ಸುಮಾರು 54 ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟುಗಳನ್ನು ಇನ್‌ವೆಸ್ಟಿಗೇಟ್ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಅದರ ಪೈಕಿ 85 ಕೆಲಸಗಳಿಗೆ ಈಗಾಗಲೇ ಮಂಜೂರಾತಿ ಕೊಟ್ಟು 38 ಕೆಲಸಗಳನ್ನು ಪ್ರಾರಂಭ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. 9 ಲಕ್ಷದ 4 ಸಾವಿರದ 687 ರೂಪಾಯಿಗಳನ್ನು ಖರ್ಚು ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮೊದಲು ಇದ್ದ 2 ಸಾವಿರದ 170 ಎಕರೆ ನೀರಾವರಿ ಜೊತೆಗೆ ಇನ್ನೂ ಅಡ್ಡೀಷನ್‌ ಆಗಿ 1 ಸಾವಿರದ 26 ಎಕರೆ ಹೊಸದಾಗಿ ನೀರಾವರಿಗೆ ಅನುಕೂಲ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಇದರಲ್ಲಿ ಪೊಲಿಟಿಕ್ ಪ್ರಾಜೆಕ್ಟ್‌ ಅನ್ನುವುದು ಯಾವುದೂ ಸರ್ಕಾರದವರ ಗಮನದಲ್ಲಿ ಇಲ್ಲ.

Sri M. MALLAPPA.—Item 11, 14 and 20—Since how long these projects are pending sanction ? The estimated amount in respect of these projects is Rs. 1.23 lakhs and the acreage to be irrigated is 126 acres. Are they not economical projects ? Item 14 Extent 1560 acres, estimated amount Rs. 5 lakhs. Since how long this project is pending ? If the project is not sanctioned, why was there any necessity to investigate ?—Items 19 and 20 —If there is any bona fide intention on the part of the Government to help the ryots to promote additional irrigation facilities, is it not essential on the part of the Government to see that these projects are sanctioned and the work taken up immediately ?

Smt. BASAVARAJESWARI.—I would like the hon. Member to repeat the question because there are a number of lists. The information is available and I will give the entire information. Since it is a big list and since the hon. Member has put a number of supplementary questions, it would require time to reply exhaustively. I have given all the information to answer the question. If he wants further information, I request him to put a separate question.

SHORT NOTICE QUESTION AND ANSWER

Murder of the Chairman of Borgi Village Panchayat

12. **Sri V. N. PATIL** (Humnabad).—

Will the Chief Minister be pleased to state :—

(a) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that on or about 17th February 1969, Sri Shivaraya of Khashimpur, the Village Panchayat Chairman of Borgi was murdered and his body was burnt ;

(b) whether the matter has been investigated and the necessary action has been taken ;

(c) whether it has come to the notice of the Government that there was serious misunderstanding between the Sub-Inspector of Police and the deceased Village Panchayat Chairman for some time ;

(d) whether there is any pending private Criminal complaint filed by the deceased Village Panchayat Chairman against the Sub-Inspector ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes ; on a complaint of the father of the deceased, a case in Kushnur Police Station Cr. No. 7/70 under Section 302, 201 and 435 of I.P.C. has been registered.

(b) The investigation is under progress. In fact in view of the seriousness of the case, the investigation has been entrusted to a Gazetted Officer. Of the 4 accused, 3 have been arrested and the remaining one is still at large.

(c) No.

(d) No.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—I want to know from the Hon. Chief Minister whether this Sri Shivaraya of Borgi Village, before he was murdered, had filed any private complaint against the Sub-Inspector of this particular Police Station on account of a scuffle ?